



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/633,762

08/04/2003

Fred Hassan

00860.US1

5124

25533 7590 02/27/2007  
PHARMACIA & UPJOHN  
7000 Portage Road  
KZO-300-104  
KALAMAZOO, MI 49001

EXAMINER

HUI, SAN MING R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1617

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

02/27/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/633,762

Applicant(s)

HASSAN, FRED

Examiner

San-ming Hui

Art Unit

1617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 and 16-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/10/07, 2/17/08, 2/23/04

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Election/Restrictions***

Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I, claims 1-9 and 16-22 in the reply filed on November 29, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the invention is classified under the same classification. This is not found persuasive because the patients populations in the two inventions are not the same. Accordingly, the disorders or conditions in the patients are different. The method of treating hot flashes caused by different conditions depending whether the patient is female or male. The search is not limited to patent file. Therefore, the search for all of the inventions would impose undue burden to the Office.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 19-22 have been cancelled in amendments filed November 29, 2006.

Claims 10-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on November 29, 2006.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

Art Unit: 1617

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In the instant case, the specification does not provide sufficient guidance and information to one of skilled in the art so that they can practice the prevention of hot flashes.

*Ex parte Forman* (230 USPQ 546, BdPatApp & Int.) and *In re Wands* (858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404, Fed. Cir. 1988) provide several factors in determining whether the specification of an application allows the skilled artisan to practice the invention without undue experimentation. Having said factors in mind, the instant specification fails to reasonably provide enablement for methods of preventing the claimed condition. Specifically, the recitation of “preventing hot flashes in patient in need thereof” in the instant claim 1, direct the claims to methods of preventing a symptom, i.e., hot flashes. However, the specification fails to properly enable such methods.

In the instant case, the burden of enabling for preventing hot flashes requires appropriate screening testing, subsequent data compilation, and finally appropriate data analysis, to assess and properly enable one skill in the art whether hot flashes are prevented from forming in a patient. For example, the specification must provide adequate guidance whether hot flashes can be prevented from forming in a patient once the composition is administered to a subject susceptible to develop hot flashes.

Moreover, the specification must provide direct evidence associating the claimed prevention to the composition applied. The burden of showing preventative properties

Art Unit: 1617

is greater than that of enabling a treatment, because one of ordinary skill in the art must not only show competent screening of those subjects susceptible to such conditions, but also show that the efficacy of a preventative method is directly caused by applying or administering the instantly claimed composition to the susceptible subjects.

In this case, there are no teachings for screening methods identifying susceptible subjects nor is there any direct evidence of efficacy establishing a preventative property associated with the claimed composition. Furthermore, the state of the prior art concerning methods of preventing hot flashes is not well described, nor does it provide for any absolute prevention. Accordingly, undue experimentation is necessary to determine screening and testing protocols to demonstrate the efficacy of the presently claimed invention. Accordingly, the instant claims are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 1617

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-9 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Script from IDS filed 1/16/2007 in view of Holm and Spencer, reference AP from IDS filed 2/23/2004.

Script teaches low dose of certain new antidepressants such as noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors as useful in treating hot flashes in postmenopausal women with breast cancer history who cannot take estrogen-based products (See paragraph 1 and 2).

Script does not expressly teach the employment of reboxetine to treat hot flashes.

Holm and Spencer teaches reboxetine as noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor (See the abstract). Holm and Spencer teaches that the dosage of reboxetine as 4mg daily as starting low dose and titrate up to 6mg daily (see page 80, col. 1, Dosage and Administration Section).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ reboxetine in a method of treating hot flashes.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ reboxetine in a method of treating hot flashes. It is known that low-dose noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors as effective in treating hot flashes. Therefore, employing any known

Art Unit: 1617

noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors, such as reboxetine, in a low-dose would be reasonably expected to be useful and effective in treating hot flashes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

  
San-ming Hui  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1617